

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS  
EASTERN DIVISION

JEANETTE GREEN,	)	
	)	
Plaintiff,	)	
	)	
v.	)	No. 07 C 4670
	)	
OFFICERS JOHN DOE, et al.,	)	
	)	
Defendants.	)	

MEMORANDUM ORDER

Counsel for the City of Chicago ("City") has filed its Answer and a purported set of Affirmative Defenses ("ADs") to the action brought against it and a number of its unnamed police officers under the auspices of 42 U.S.C. §1983 ("Section 1983") and the common law of Illinois. This memorandum order is issued sua sponte to correct the Pavlovian assertion of several boilerplate ADs that plainly do not fit this case:

1. AD 1 is at odds with the basic principle that a plaintiff's allegations in her Complaint must be accepted as true for AD purposes--see App. ¶5 to State Farm Mut. Auto. Ins. Co. v. Riley, 199 F.R.D. 276, 278 (N.D. Ill. 2001).

2. AD 2 has the same flaw, not only from the Complaint's description of the event sued upon (see Complaint ¶7) but also because of the specific allegation of wilful and wanton conduct on the part of the officers (see Complaint ¶9).

3. AD 3 is at odds with the Complaint's claim of

City's respondeat superior liability.

4. Both ADs 4 and 5 have no place in this case in light of the Complaint's allegations--nothing there supports the notion of wrongful conduct on plaintiff's part, and just how, pray tell, can mitigation of damages enter the picture at all?

All of the ADs are accordingly stricken, and defense counsel should give some thought to the loss of confidence likely to be produced by such substandard practice.

A handwritten signature in black ink, reading "Milton I. Shadur". The signature is written in a cursive, flowing style. The first name "Milton" is written with a large, stylized 'M' and 'I'. The last name "Shadur" is written with a large 'S' and 'H'. The signature is positioned above a horizontal line.

---

Milton I. Shadur  
Senior United States District Judge

Date: December 12, 2007